SINGLE BENCH

-CF-1202-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. (S) No. 98 / 2006

PETITIONER : Chandrahas Shandilya, S/o. Shri Dharam Saingh Shandilya, aged about 38 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School –Kamaipur, Block – Magarload, Distt. – Dhamtari (C.G.)

No. 7886/06
Presented on 28/12/06
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh ~~& Others~~ Through- The Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer, Distt. – Dhamtari (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer, Block – Magarload Distt. - Dhamtari (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
28-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 97 OF 2007

Petitioner : Jeewan Lal Sinha

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 98 OF 2007

Petitioner : Chandrahas Shandilya

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 107 OF 2007

Petitioner : Mani Ram Sidar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 108 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ram Lal Jagat

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 109 OF 2007

Petitioner : Dwij Ram Dhruw

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. A.S. Rajput, counsel for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 16th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this

Court in the case of in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash